

**LEGISLATIVE SERVICES AGENCY  
OFFICE OF FISCAL AND MANAGEMENT ANALYSIS**

200 W. Washington, Suite 301  
Indianapolis, IN 46204  
(317) 233-0696  
<http://www.in.gov/legislative>

**FISCAL IMPACT STATEMENT**

**LS 7195**

**BILL NUMBER:** HB 1393

**NOTE PREPARED:** Feb 20, 2013

**BILL AMENDED:** Feb 18, 2013

**SUBJECT:** Judicial Technology and Automation.

**FIRST AUTHOR:** Rep. Steuerwald

**FIRST SPONSOR:**

**BILL STATUS:** CR Adopted - 1<sup>st</sup> House

**FUNDS AFFECTED:**     **GENERAL**  
                              **X DEDICATED**  
                              **FEDERAL**

**IMPACT:** State

**Summary of Legislation:** (Amended) The bill has the following provisions:

- A.     *JTOC Purpose and Membership* – It establishes the Judicial Technology Oversight Committee (JTOC) to: (1) conduct a continuous study of information technology applications for Indiana's judicial system; (2) develop a long-range strategy for technology and automation in Indiana's judicial system; and (3) make recommendations to the Supreme Court concerning the implementation of policies, standards, and rules that promote the effective use of technology and automation in Indiana courts.

The bill provides that the committee consists of: (1) the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court; (2) the chief information officer of the Office of Technology; (3) one member of the Senate; (4) one member of the House of Representatives; (5) one trial court judge; (6) one circuit court clerk; (7) one attorney admitted to the practice of law in Indiana; (8) a circuit court clerk for a county that does not operate under the state's automated judicial system; and (9) an individual affiliated with a taxpayer organization.

- B.     *Automated Record Keeping Fee* – It provides that the automated record keeping fee increases for two years from \$5 to \$7 for all civil, criminal, infraction, and ordinance violation actions except actions resulting in the accused person entering into a: (1) pretrial diversion program agreement; or (2) deferral program agreement. It provides that the automated record keeping fee is \$5 for all civil, criminal, infraction, and ordinance violation actions resulting in the accused person entering into a: (1) pretrial diversion program agreement; or (2) deferral program agreement.

**Effective Date:** July 1, 2013.

**Explanation of State Expenditures:** (Revised) *Judicial Technology Oversight Committee (JTOC)*: The bill establishes the JTOC. This nine-member committee will be staffed by the Division of State Court Administration. Committee members who are not members of the General Assembly are paid per diem, mileage, travel allowances, and other expenses from the appropriations to the Supreme Court. Members of the committee who are members of the General Assembly will receive per diem, mileage, travel allowances, and other expenses from the appropriations to the Legislative Council or Legislative Services Agency and are reimbursed in the same manner as members of interim study committees.

JTOC is to meet at the call of the chair, who is the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court or the Chief Justice's designee. JTOC is to study information technology applications for the judicial system, develop long-range strategy for technology and automation, and make recommendations to the Supreme Court that promote the effective use of technology and automation in Indiana Courts.

*Judicial Technology and Automation Committee (JTAC)*: JTAC was established by the Indiana Supreme Court in its Administrative Rule 4(B). The bill does not repeal this committee or stop the committee from meeting. The eight members of JTAC are judges from various types of courts around the state, and they are entitled to reimbursement of travel and other reasonable expenses. However, the committee generally meets remotely.

The Committee's charge includes but is not limited to the development of a long-range strategy for technology and automation in Indiana's judicial system, including possible approaches for funding and implementation as well as the development of standards for judicial information case management systems, judicial data processing, electronic filing, deployment and use of judicial information on the Internet, and for all related technologies used in the courts.

**Explanation of State Revenues:** (Revised) *Automated Record Keeping Fee*: The bill temporarily increases the Automated Record Keeping Fee from \$5 to \$7 during FY 2014 and FY 2015. The \$2 increase in the fee for certain actions is expected to increase the funds deposited in the State User Fee Fund by an estimated \$1.9 M each year based on CY 2011 case levels. The revenue increase would occur in FY 2014 and FY 2015 only.

Twice a year the Treasurer of State distributes \$1.288 M from the State User Fee Fund to various funds. The remainder is deposited in the Judicial Technology and Automation Project Fund. The average annual distribution in the last five years to the Judicial Technology and Automation Project Fund was \$6.94 M.

**Additional Details:**

(Revised) *Estimating the Eligible Cases*: The collections for state funds from the Automated Record Keeping Fee in 2011 totaled almost \$6.0 M. Assuming the average fee collected was \$6 in 2011 (the fee decreased from \$7 to \$5 on July 1, 2011) and accounting for receipt of 80% of the fee by counties that do not operate under the state's automated judicial record keeping system (Odyssey), it is estimated that about 1.02 million cases will be subject to this added fee after subtracting cases that were deferred or diverted. About 95,000 cases were either deferred or diverted in 2011. The fee increase would not apply to these cases.

(Revised) *Estimating New Revenue*: The fee increase could generate an estimated \$1.9 M each year. The State User Fee Fund would continue to receive 100% of the fee revenue from counties where the trial courts have either fully or partially adopted Odyssey or have made a formal written commitment to adopt Odyssey. For counties not using Odyssey, during FY 2014 and FY 2015, 85.7% of the fee revenue would be deposited in the State User Fee Fund and 14.3% of the fee revenue would be deposited into the clerk's record perpetuation fund in each county. Beginning in FY 2016, after the \$2 fee increase expires, these distributions would return to the current 80% to the State User Fee Fund and 20% to the clerk's record perpetuation fund. All fee revenue from city and town courts is deposited into the State User Fee Fund whether or not they use Odyssey.

The following table shows how revenue from the Automated Record Keeping Fee will be distributed based on whether they use or do not use the Odyssey system.

Percentage of Revenue Deposited into State User Fee Fund From Trial, City, and Town Courts		
	Between July 1, 2013, and June 30, 2015	After June 30, 2015
Using Odyssey or Committed to Using Odyssey	100%	100%
Not Using Odyssey and Not Intending to Adopt Odyssey	85.7%	80%

Based on these percentages, the following table shows the estimated revenue that this new fee will generate.

New Revenue (in \$M) Judicial Technology and Automation Project from Increasing the Automated Record Keeping Fee to \$7 for FY 2014 and FY 2015														
Odyssey Status	No.	Revenue		Current Fee**		Estimated Cases		Deferred/ Diverted		Eligible Cases		Added Fee		New Revenue
Trial Courts on Waiting List	50	\$2,205,008	÷	\$4.80	=	459,377	-	22,927	=	436,450	x	\$2	=	\$0.90
Trial Courts Not Participating	51	\$696,705	÷	\$4.80	=	145,147	-	14,518	=	130,629	x	\$1	=	\$0.10
Trial Courts Fully or Partially Implemented	68	\$1,649,362	÷	\$6.00	=	274,894	-	25,182	=	249,712	x	\$2	=	\$0.50
City, Town, or Township Courts	83	\$1,441,991	÷	\$6.00	=	240,332	-	32,365	=	207,967	x	\$2	=	\$0.40
		\$5,993,066												\$1.90
*Odyssey is the court’s electronic record system. A court that does not use Odyssey splits the fee, sending 80% to the State User Fee Fund and retaining 20% for the clerk’s record perpetuation fund. For courts with Odyssey, 100% of the fee goes to the State User Fee Fund. **LSA uses the state share of the Automated Record Keeping Fee (\$6.00 x 80% = \$4.80) to estimate the number of cases in counties without Odyssey that would be affected by this bill.														

Under IC 33-37-7-2, fees from actions that result in the accused person entering a pretrial diversion or deferral program are deposited in the state homeowner protection unit account. Under the bill, the amount and deposit of these funds will not change.

**Explanation of Local Expenditures:**

**Explanation of Local Revenues:** (Revised) *Automated Record Keeping Fee*: For counties without the Odyssey system, the added \$1 is estimated to increase the funds deposited in county clerks' record perpetuation fund by \$100,000. (See *Explanation of State Revenues* for details.)

**State Agencies Affected:** JTAC, Supreme Court, Division of State Court Administration.

**Local Agencies Affected:** County clerk's record perpetuation fund.

**Information Sources:** Mary DePrez, JTAC; 2010 and 2011 *Trial Court Statistics*; Auditor's Data; <http://www.in.gov/judiciary/jtac/>.

**Fiscal Analyst:** Mark Goodpaster, 317-232-9852.